Executive Committee Meeting  
September 14, 2011  
Minutes

Present: Hill, Brooks, Verba, Gasco, Norman

Time Certain: 3:30 p.m. Mitch Maki and Sheela Pawar

There was a discussion about the lack of a parliamentarian. Hill reported that he had approached a few different faculty but they were not interested. The Execs made some suggestions for Hill to follow up on.

There was a discussion about the suggestions made at the last senate meeting. Hill had put together a list. The Exec committee went over the list and narrowed it down. Once the list is finalized it will go out to the academic senators for them to choose their top 10.

Mitch Maki and Sheela Pawar

Maki wanted to talk about the culture of the senate. He has received complaints that it is a vent fest. Maki asked how we could move forward during these meetings without them becoming a venting session. Maki said that he is not trying to stop active debate. It was suggested that the tone of the provost report could be changed. Hill said that perhaps we should not use last week’s meeting as a model of how the meetings are. There was the flowchart issue which was contentious a bit. Maki said that the president and Vogel brought it to Exec about 1 year ago. The other issue was the size of UPC and making it a smaller group. That was brought to Exec as well. Verba said that she did not know how to change the senate or the senators. This is just the way they are. Hill said that the chair should try to set the tone and reign in on problems. Maki asked that Ex-officio members be allowed to sit at the tables. Hill said that we would arrange for that to happen and that Ex-officio’s are members.

Maki suggested that before proposals are brought before the senate that the exec has someone from administration look over the proposal first. Verba said that we already do that depending on the resolution. Gasco said that she is uncomfortable with this idea and is concerned we are being co-opted. Maki said that by running proposals by administration you can say administration supports it, and if not then you still proceed with the resolution.

Pawar visited and said that GE and UCC need to rewrite their charges. There was a discussion about UCC and GE reporting to the senator or the provost. The UCC and the GE report to the senate according to Gasco who worked on this resolution. Gasco suggested that the provost’s office re-write and if we need to make changes we can this year. Pawar said that she would run it by the senate exec so that we have the language we want.
Pawar brought up the Title V changes for the second baccalaureate degree. The new changes are the second baccalaureate degree cannot be held to the GE and AI but title V is saline about the GWAR. There was a discussion and we decided to bring a resolution to the senate in favor of making the GWAR mandatory if the person is coming from outside the CSU.

There was a discussion about the retreat suggestions and the exec narrowed the list down. Mary will type up and give back to Exec for their review before we send out to the senators.

The following will be our senate agenda for next week’s meeting:

**Reports**
- Provost/President
- EPC
- FPC
- Statewide Senators
- Sue Borrego-Enrollment Management