Executive Committee Meeting

Minutes

April 27, 2011

Present: Vasquez, White, Fawver, Gasco, Brooks, Kalayjian, White and Verba

Time Certains: 3:30 p.m. Mildred Garcia
4:00 p.m. Mitch Maki

Vasquez reported that she attended the graduate initiative meeting and they discussed roadmaps and curriculum reform.

White reported that he did not have information on the outstanding resolutions yet. He did report that the Emeritus Status resolution was approved.

EPC Report-Gasco discussed EPC 11-05 and said that no comments have come in but that she will present this as a second reading item. Gasco said that she would also bring back as a second reading item EPC 11-06 Faculty Development Center. There was discussion about creating a resolution to give faculty release time to run the center.

FPC Report-White reported for Norman-There was a discussion about FPC 11-03 and the Executive Committee decided to hold off on this resolution until the fall. There was a discussion about if FPC 11-03 needed to be tabled and the answer was no since it had only been a first reading item.

Parliamentarian Report-Verba reported that it appears that there is no one in charge of a general faculty list or list serve. The president asked Mitch Maki to look into this matter.

Statewide Senate Report-Fawver reported that the statewide senate would be meeting 3 days next week and that she and Pat would not be at senate for that reason.

Action Item

Faculty Resolution 11-07 Reaffirming Commitment to CSU Graduation Requirement (American Institutions) and Urging the Board of Trustees to Defer Consideration of Waivers to the Existing Title V Requirement-Fawver went over the resolution and explained the issues. We decided to make this an Action Item and that a W* was not needed. We decided this resolution would be a sense of the senate.

Exec 11-08 Support for Public Employees and Collective Bargaining-Fawver went over the resolution. The Executive Committee decided to make this item an Action Item on the agenda. This resolution would be a sense of the senate.
3:30 p.m. President’s Report-Mildred Garcia-Garcia reported that the college meetings are going well. There is discussion about a new science building but we need bonds for that. Garcia reported that UBC has met and they have been charged to think about the first half million. Vasquez said that she would like John Thomlinson to give a talk to the senate. Garcia said that UPC was mostly made up of administrators and there were way too many of them. The membership has been brought down from 31 to 11 and their charge will be to deal with the strategic plan. She passed out the composition of the committee. The academic senate chair will serve on the committee but also 2 faculty members will serve but they must be from different colleges and different from the academic senate chair’s college. senator. Kalayjian asked if there was an organizational chart and Garcia will ask Maki to look into this. White asked what the charge of the interim provost would be. To act as a caretaker or will they be making decisions? Garcia said that if you accept the salary you will do the job... no caretaking. Fawver asked about the American Institutions issue and Garcia said that she had heard about it but does not have all the details.

Provost’s Report-Mitch Maki-Maki reported that some departments have descriptions of standards and some have standards. Maki asked if it would be helpful to have a template with lots of latitude. Standards for tenure and early tenure? Fawver said that she would like for administration to write down what they want. Vogel had noticed the lack of consistency and quantifiable standards. Maki said that what they had was very uneven and needed clarity. Some of the standards are outdated and he liked the idea of revisiting every 3 years. Gasco asked in terms of a template to give an examples that is specific. Verba said that it is wrong to ask faculty to do something and no money to do it. Fawver said that we need to be systematic about it. Fawver felt that FPC 11-03 was brow beating people. Vasquez said that she tried to keep her opinion out of it. She did notice that the faculty that are not in favor are tenured faculty and the faculty that are in favor of 11-03 are untenured.

Maki said that he will put something together and let the Exec review. There will be no sledgehammer but we will work on this together. We need to talk about the whole idea of percentages. If you do really well in one category it can compensate for another? Administration does not look at it that way but they want satisfactory (good enough for tenure) in each area.

Meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m.