Executive Committee Meeting

January 23, 2013

Present:  Davis, Gasco, Salhi, Jones, Fawver, Kalayjian, Brooks

Time Certains:  Chris Manriquez and William Hagan

Jim Hill was absent due to illness.  John Davis, Vice Chair, chaired the meeting.

There was discussion about how GE needs to work out how to contract programs over 120 units.  Kalayjian said that if a program is over 120 units they can argue why it is necessary and then submit their arguments to the chancellor’s office.

2:30 p.m. Time Certain:  Chris Manriquez—Manriquez wanted to meet with Exec first to discuss setting up a Senate IT Committee.  He said that it is loosely based on what they did at Fullerton.  Manriquez brought a slide presentation that he went over.  Kalayjian asked about the members from colleges and said that it sounded like the senate would not be choosing these people.  Manriquez said that the colleges would elect them—possibly faculty that are senate members.  Kalayjian said that she does not see that coming from the senate.  Fawver said that this is not within the parameters of how we do committees we need to change the constitution.  Gasco said that we could make it an organization committee and then we would not have to do anything to the constitution.  Fawver said that she did not understand ½ of the slides and thought that we already had a lot of this in place.  She said that it sounded broad and she asked Manriquez what he wanted the committee to achieve.  Manriquez said that the committee would like at issues like learning management systems, calstateonline, smart classroom technology upgrades, virtual computing lab, academic compute, research support storage strategy etc.

Fawver said that she liked the diagram but that her concerns are with the part time faculty and lecturers not being able to log on when they are in class.  The basics need to be dealt with and no one seems to know how to do the mundane things correctly.

Manriquez said that he has been here since December 3, 2012 and he spent the first month talking to faculty and asking what the top ten things are that they would like to see made better.  Fawver said that she was unaware that certain decisions have already been made.  She would like the body to build a historical archive.  Manriquez asked Fawver if establishing a committee helps or hurts us.  Fawver said that it helps.

Salhi said that there is a risk that this committee could interfere in what a professor offers, but he also thinks establishing the committee is a step in the right direction.

Chris Manriquez will be on the senate agenda for February 6, 2013.

Fawver asked about the IPads and iPhones.  Manriquez said that if you have a state phone and you use it for personal calls at a minimum that university/department is allowed to pay for it.  If you are going to
use it for the lengthy personal calling then we can pay for the phone but you need to pay for the wireless. Your work and home life are blended. Manriquez said that there are 3 new IT positions.

3:30 p.m. President Hagan: Hagan attended the BoT meeting yesterday.

Hagan passed out a pamphlet from the Aspen Institute. He asked that Exec look at it and if we want him to nominate someone to let him know and he will. He said that if he does nominate someone he absorbs the cost.

Hagan passed out the slides from the budget discussion at the chancellor’s office. He said that there are still a lot of people who think that prop 30 gave us money. He said that there is a major shift in the states responsibilities and that general obligation bonds are now backed by the state. Another issue is benefits increases shifted to our budget. We can negotiate healthcare costs with the unions. Almost all systems are low in terms of budget cuts and funding is low as a result. Hagan said that if Prop 30 had not passed we had a plan.

Hagan asked what the highest priorities are. He said that we have had 6 years of no compensation for employees and so that is a high priority. Having more money to support enrollment is a high priority and growth will generate more growth. Fawver asked what our plan B was if prop 30 did not pass and Hagan said that prop 30 cost was taken from the university ahead of time and so the university adjusted for it.

Hagan reported that Greg Saks is now the VP of University Advancement at Fullerton. We want to launch the search for his position. Jeff is the interim VP right now. Hagan said that several searches are coming and that we want to get all positions filled at all levels.

Salhi asked Hagan how we know when money is donated and who and how much was donated. Hagan said that he can get Salhi the information and he also mentioned the Donors book that comes out once a year that lists all donations.

Hagan reported that he will be meeting with the CFA for a meet and confer about separating the College of Professional Studies. Fawver wanted to know if we will be bringing this to senate for a vote. Fawver said that she will bring to the senate meeting for a vote. Hagan said that Keith and Ramon will present the report to the senate.

Hagan would like to have a ½ day retreat at a hotel between Exec and the presidential cabinet.

There was discussion about sharing positions with other CSU’s to save money. Accounts Payable is an area that is the same at all CSU’s and so we could share in that area (as an example).

Hagan said that if the governor’s budget holds we should have 2.5 to 3 million in new baseline money.

Hagan gave us a copy of a letter he sent to Chancellor Reed asking him for assistance in allocating $3.6 million in one-time funds.
There was discussion about the Women’s Resource Center and there is money for a director and staff and there is a location. Hagan did not know the location exactly but there is a location.

EPC Report-Janine Gasco-Gasco is concerned about the resolutions being passed by us and sent to Academic Affairs and then nothing happening.... We need a structure.

Fawver said that she will put together a resolution for President Hagan. She said that under no circumstances should the resolution be an exec resolution, it will be presented as a Faculty Resolution

There will be a general faculty meeting next Wednesday from 2 to 3 in LCH A103 to vote on amendments to the Academic Senate constitution.