Call to Order

Approval of Agenda  Amended MSP

Approval of Minutes  Amended MSP

Time Certains:
2:45 p.m. President’s Report—Mildred García-García reported that she would be going back to the chancellor’s office to interview candidates for the Executive Director of CSU Online position. She said that there are no models accepted yet. We will have the Executive Director to work with the campuses and we are questioning candidates about the impact on FTE, and using our faculty to teach for the online program. García said that the $50,000 that was given for the online came from her budget and understands that it is a one-time fee.

Points of Pride—García reported that the department of education has given 5 grants over the past 5 years. 30 are funded nationwide. Nancy Carlson of Little Company of Mary, who is their CEO, has given $25,000 to the nursing lab here at CSUDH. García reported that the State of California - Lieutenant Governor Gavin Newsom will be visiting the campus on November 15, 2011. García reported that the Theater Art Department’s play “Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde”
opened Friday, October 15, 2011. García reported that La Feria es El Momento, a college fair for Latino students and their families will be taking place on the campus October 22, 2011 from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. in the University Gymnasium, 30,000 people are expected to attend. A Day at Dominguez will be held on October 29, 2011 in the University Gymnasium. Next week is Homecoming week here on the campus so we are looking forward to that.

García reported that she attended an Alumni Reception at the home of Kathy Tibone who is an alumna of DH. Her house is in Hermosa Beach and is right on the strand. There were about 185 people there and it was a wonderful event.

García went over the enrollment: She said that the California FTE is 10,435.15 and the General FTE is 10,519.28 and the headcount is 14,362. Enrollment is looked at on a yearly basis and not by semester. Fall and spring figures are averaged. We can go 3% over our target which we have done. García said that she has not heard anything yet about the budget. García is asking everyone as private citizens to write to the legislature. She said that the revenue is not coming into the state and so there is a possibility that the CSU and the UC will each receive a $100 million cut. García said that if this is a one-time situation we will be fine. Our share will be $2.6 million and we have the funds to cover this cut. We will need to bring back the University Budget Committee if this happens.

The provost search committee meetings are on target. We are looking to complete the process before we break for the holidays. We are in mid-term already.

Commencement-We may have to go to a two day program. We are among four universities in the CSU system that don’t have Friday and Saturday commencements.

García said that the Home Depot Center will be having playoffs and we insisted that the games start at 8:00 p.m.

García read the 5 top strategic plan initiatives for 2011-12 (not ranked):

1. Provide access through diverse instructional modalities (online/hybrid) to increase student access, flexibility, graduation and learning for success. (Goal 1/Initiative 1)
2. Hire and retain diverse faculty in disciplines that support the priorities and stated themes. (Goal 1/Initiative 4)
3. Foster a rich environment of student learning and success through collaborative, co-curricular programs. (Goal 2/Initiative 3)
4. Develop and enhance the infrastructure to support campus-wide fundraising and branding activities. (Goal 4/Initiative 1)
5. Establish a culture of customer service in all areas of the University. (Goal 6/Initiative 7). These initiatives are also listed in the 2011 Convocation Address posted at http://www.csudh.edu/president/documents/2011FallConvocationScript.pdf on page 29 of the script.
Jones asked if the campus was receiving money from the HDC and how was it being spent? García said that we are not using the $400,000 for backfill any longer and so the money will go back to faculty and staff.

3:00 p.m. Chancellor’s Doctoral Incentive Program-Sheela Pawar-Pawar went over the chancellor’s doctoral program. Students can receive up to $10,000 per year for a maximum of $30,000 to obtain their doctorate. The students must have a faculty mentor and the money is forgiven at a rate for every year that the student comes back and teaches for the CSU. If the student does not get employment teaching in a faculty position with the CSU the loan would have to be paid back in full by the student. Those who are interested should submit a CV, Statement of Purpose, 3 letters of recommendation and a position announcement for the type of position they would be looking for. The student does not have to be a CSU student; they just have to want to teach for the CSU. The loan is forgiven over a 5 year period that the person is teaching. The loan is designed to support travel, books, etc. Annie Wilson said that she took out this loan, and it really helped her through the process of receiving her doctorate. Please contact Sheela Pawar or Tracy Haney for further information.

Unfinished Business
Second Reading Item EPC 11-10 Resolution in Support for the Title 5 “American Institutions” Graduation requirement for all Undergraduate Degrees at CSU Dominguez Hills and a commitment to an equal and uniform educational experience for both transfer and native students-Gasco reported that the resolution has some slight revisions from the previous readings. She said that both History and Political Science departments have given full support for this resolution. Suchenek asked why we are not going through the General Ed for this. Gasco said that this is a curricular matter and not a matter for GE. Monty said that this is a waiver for transfer students and so it is distinct from their general education, if it were for native students it would go through GE. Carvalho said that this stands in contrast to what the Board of Trustees has already voted on. Gasco said “no”. Coulibaly wanted to make sure that this would be sent out to the ASI. Coulibaly was also concerned about students at the community colleges. Fawver said that the Academic Senates at the Community Colleges should make it available. Vanterpool suggested a friendly amendment to add in the last resolve to distribute to the ASI and to the GE. Pawar said that if a department rejected the waiver there would be an adjudication process and Gasco said that EPC would adjudicate, however, if the EPC and the senate passes this resolution then it is the will of the senate. Verba said that if we could we would say “no” to waivers. Fawver said that we need to refer to the constitution as to what the senate can do. Verba went on to say that we cannot say that we cannot resolve “no waivers” we don’t want waivers. If you want it you must go before UCC. Monty said that this is incorrect. The faculty shall control the waivers. This resolution means “no waivers” on this campus. Monty said that the senate does have the authority to say “no” to waiver for AI. Gasco said that we are trying to ensure “no waivers” for this campus by using faculty power over the curriculum. Whetmore said that he supported the resolution and he concurs with Monty and Gasco. The faculty does own the curriculum. Fawver said that we are not alone in this. Sonoma, Humboldt, Fullerton, Los Angeles have all passed resolutions not supporting AI
waivers. San Bernardino, Chico, San Luis Obispo are considering this a good policy and a good resolution. Bradfield supports the resolution. Suchenek wants the GE to be notified if this resolution is passed, please add GE to the 4th resolution, a friendly amendment. Gasco asked the senate if it was okay to add the friendly amendments of notifying the ASI as well as GE to the resolution. There were no objections. MSP. There was a vote to pass the resolution. 29 were in favor; 1 opposed; 1 abstention. Fawver thanked the senate.

Chair’s Reports-Jim Hill-Hill thanked the College of Arts and Humanities for providing cookies and coffee for this senate meeting. Hill gave a report on his meeting with the CSU Council of Senate Chairs. The CSU Council of Chairs discussed a variety of issues. Eric Forbes visited and talked about enrollment targets. He said that some campuses are over target and that some are down in post-bac (master’s program especially). Forbes said that 16 campuses are impacted. He said that many individual programs are impacted. He discussed the movement of a 2nd BAC to self-support and said that it is on hold. He talked about the forbidden 4: 2nd bac; unclassified post bac; lower division transfer; and upper division without preparation.

Forbes discussed SB1440. There are 16 approved TMC’s and campuses are asked to find out how to reach a “yes”. 77 community colleges have approved programs. Students with these degrees cannot be rejected. They will be given priority admission. CSU Mentor lists approved programs at campuses.

Jim Postma said that he is trying to avoid having local Provost’s impose and he does want to protect the curriculum but….California Community Colleges are not changing the business process. There are 4 primary TMC’s: Psychology, sociology/speech communication, math.

CTS does the coordination for early start and the campuses need to have local meetings about this. The campuses need to have local meetings about this. The one unit experience needs articulation. The Exec order leaves room for other options.

There was discussion about the presidential experience and why should we revise at all? 50% of presidents will be retiring in about 5 years. The chancellor wants more streamlining to allow for more search. The chancellor claims he really cares. Kalayjian said that the problem is that when a candidate visits a campus their home campus finds out. Many drop out before the campus visit. With regard to the composition of the search committee there has been a change. Instead of faculty being elected they will be selected.

Online Education-the Council of Chairs discussed the online education initiative. Katz was an executive vice president for “EduCause”. The new executive directive will develop in consultation the direction of the new online initiative. This person will be hired before the end of this calendar year. The Katz study leads us to believe that there is a lot of faculty support for this. Those 60 (really 64) online programs will remain in their individual campuses. TSC views it
as centralized organization that will help the campuses. Hill believes it is kind of a service organization to help the campuses. Pete VanHamersveld’s office has the accurate numbers. Quillion is the TSC steering committee co-chair. Where did the $50,000 come from? Quillion said that we just asked for it but he does not know where it came from. All 23 campuses have ponied up the $50,000.

Provost’s Report-Mitch Maki-Maki thanked the senate for the good wishes sent to him. He said that his father is coming home this week.

Maki reported that there are 3 more assessment workshops that will take place. Thursday, October 20, from 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Assessment the Big Picture); Friday October 21, from 12:00 to 2:00 p.m. (Assessment: The Big Picture); and Monday October 24, 1:00 to 3:00 p.m. (Data Collection: Using Rubrics for Assessment). Faculty can use assessment

Maki reported that he is about to begin meeting with departments on the RTP standards and definitions. Maki reported that he had talked with Exec and with Senate this past year about the departments creating their standards and definitions. Maki said that he did create a template for departments to go by but that was only if they wanted to. He does not have an agenda for how it should be. This is for departments to create and to enforce and to help new faculty to know what is expected of them.

Maki followed up on the Early Start initiative. He said that what the university would like to do is offer 3 unit courses and get the remediation done in the summer. Many of incoming freshmen are not admitted until July or August and so there is a problem with fairness if they don’t get admitted earlier for different reasons.

Bradfield asked about the mandatory early start and wanted to know if we could show the chancellor’s office how crazy this is. A large number of remediation students will be moved to summer and this will create heavy workloads for faculty. The cost to students will also go way up. Maki said that all of these issues have been raised and we have to figure it out.

Monty asked about the University Advisement Center/Testing Search-The current director is in an “acting” role and so this position needs to be permanently filled. The search has been approved and so we are moving forward.

Malamud said that he did not think that assessment should be a part of RTP. He thinks assessment is wrong for new faculty just starting. He is not sure assessment really is reflective of how faculty teaches. Malamud said the way to really find out is to go into their classroom and watch them teach-peer review. Hill said that this deserves more attention but we need to move on.
Coulibaly told Maki that it would be very helpful if students had a website they could go to that would tell them what they need to take to be prepared for the University. Maki said that he would like to work with her and asked that Coulibaly give him her email address before she leaves.

Fawver said that she felt we should discuss RTP/Assessment before the provost meets with any departments. Fawver asked when we could do this. She asked if we could have FPC look into this. Maki said that we will not formalize anything until we have more discussion. Maki said that he needs a clear set of standards and definitions though. Verba said that the reality is that students get what they put into it. We don’t want to go to a model where we are judging faculty by how well students do. Monty said that we need to use assessment as part of the criteria. Cauthen said that his department has some severe articulation problems. He said that students transferring cannot pick out a verb in a 5 word sentence. They take English 350 over and over and cannot pass the GWAR. Maki said that he would like to further discuss this with Cauthen. Cauthen said that students take the course over and over and drop out. Maki asked Cauthen to put a group together of those working on the GWAR and English 350 and asked that they get together and meet.

Morin said that we do need to use assessment as part of the criteria and assessment is already embedded. Malamud said that there is confusion between assessment and grading they are no related.

**Vice Chair’s Report-Ericka Verba** - Verba reported that the sexual assault resolution has been made into policy. There are 4 outstanding resolutions from spring.

**Parliamentarian Report-Diane Miller** - Miller opened up a call for nominations for 2 tenured/tenure track faculty to serve on the University Planning Committee. Miller said that we prefer 2 faculty from colleges other than the College of Natural and Behavioral Sciences. This call for nominations will close at 5:00 p.m. on November 1, 2011.

**EPC Report-Janine Gasco** - Gasco reported that the deans sent her information on how students can drop. In CAH the Dean’s office must sign off if a student is completely separating from the university, otherwise to drop a class the student must secure the signatures. In NBS they require the students to get the instructor and chair’s signature. The dean will sign for the chairs if a student cannot find them. They have also been instructed that students must have some form of documentation to withdraw as outlined in EO1037 section 6d.: [http://www.calstate.edu/EO/EO-1037.pdf](http://www.calstate.edu/EO/EO-1037.pdf). In CBAPP the dean or associate dean will sign if they have documentation supporting the request for a total withdrawal. The drop slip and documentation are scanned and saved and it is emailed to all instructors. The original is sent to admission/records. In CPS as a general policy, they prefer to have the signatures that are required on the form that they are completing. If the person is not available on campus, they ask for approval through email, attach a hard copy of the email to the form and then sign off on it. They then keep a copy of the entire application and forward the original to admissions and records. They have not run into any snags in this process so far, both the faculty and the chairs
have been supportive. Gasco reported that students who are dropping must submit a change of program and file a petition for exception form, and they must be dropping for serious and compelling reasons. Gasco said that we are in line now with the system wide policy. Malamud said that EPC should look at ways to streamline this process.

**FPC Report-Thomas Norman**-Norman reported the next committee meeting would be held next Wednesday from 12 noon to 1:00 p.m. Norman announced that the committee is still open if anyone wanted to join. He said he would begin meeting with Dr. Martin and the appropriate members of his office in the spirit of increasing the collegiality between faculty and administration.

**CFA Report-David Bradfield**-Bradfield reported that the CFA continues to circulate comment cards to all CFA members. We are looking forward to November 17, 2011 (our concerted action). Bradfield said that Thursday and Friday of this week are bargaining team meetings. Bradfield said that it is appropriate to let students know that something may happen on this date and that they are not obligated to go along with the situation. There will be information handed out to students. Bradfield said that there will be an announcement coming.

Maki said to be careful about how you present to students about crossing the picket line. Students should not feel that their actions are required. We should not be using students as pawns. Bradfield agreed with Maki.

**New Business**-Fawver wanted to know about the rumor going around that faculty have to be on campus 3 days per week. Furusa said that because of the high number of students we may need to utilize more days. Maki said that there have been discussions with deans on how to build a better schedule. As we grow it is nice to have faculty presence on the campus but we have not articulated anything yet. Bragg said that for CBAPP we expanded our schedule to Friday and Saturday but could not go to Sunday. Miller said that there is an office hour policy in place. Malamud said that this senate spent 2 years debating a Monday-Wednesday schedule. The schedule used to be MWF until 1 p.m.

Maki said that there is no policy being made. Furusa said that it was not a rumor but we are starting to consider it. Monty said that there is a problem with committee service conflicts and there is a workload issue. Parham suggested a feasibility study. Hill reminded us all that there are 7 days in a week.

**Open Forum**-Nothing.

**Meeting Adjourned at 5:00 p.m.**